



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,794	12/07/2000	Dan C. Morgan	MB4315.001	2582
23875	7590 12/09/2002			
MOLLY D MCKAY, PC			EXAMINER	
3207 E 22ND STREET TULSA, OK 74114-1823			BALI, VIKKRAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/733,794	MORGAN, DAN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vikkram Bali	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soon and the period for reply will be period for rep	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language		- ,,, ,			
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/733,794

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brogger et al (US 6309690).

With respect to claim 1, Brogger discloses: verifying the authenticity of a signature on a collectible object (see col. 5, lines 66-67): connecting an originating computer via an online communication system to a central computer (see figure 2, the local computers 220a-c are connected to the database 215 via a modem); providing informationabout the user, the signeris inscribed (see col. 9, lines 60-68 for the details on how to use the invention for networked shopping, the owners identity "the user" the indice "the signer" and the col. 10, lines 5-9, selects an article "collectible surface" as claimed); scanning the suspected signature at the suspected signature at the to the central computer (see Input device 240a-c on figure 2, and col. 11, lines 53-56, for different types of input devices that includes a recognition apparatus i.e. scanner for "scanning", and see col. 9, lines 60-68 for the details on how to use the

Application/Control Number: 09/733,794

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply introduce the feature of communicating results between the server and the client as it is well known, and it is possible to do that because the Brogger's system does include a modem (see figure 2, 230) and the motivation of doing this is simply to get any information at any place as long as the computer system is connected to the network.

With respect to claim 2, Brogger further discloses: printing a certificate of that are authentic; and attaching the second to the collectible, (see figure 3, 77 and 76 and col. 5, lines 45-52) as claimed.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth for the rejections of claims 1 and 2, because claims 3 and 4 are claiming similar subject matter as claims 1 and 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9314 for regular communications and 703.872.9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.306.0377.

Vikkram Ba
Examiner

VB December 2, 2002